TRANSMITTAL		Application Nu	Application Number Filing Date		10/789,128		
		Filing Date			February 27, 2004		
FORM		First Named In	First Named Inventor		Dustin L. Green		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Uni	Group Art Unit		2619		
		Confirmation Number			7352		
		Examiner Nam	Examiner Name		TIMOTHY J WEIDNER		
Sent via Express Mail Label No.:		Attorney Docks	Attorney Docket Number		303427.01		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment Reply (pages) After Final After Final Final Accept of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 57 CFR 51.800 Accept of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 57 CFR 51.800 Accept of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 57 CFR 61.800 Accept file Missing Parts Under 61.800 Accept file Missing Parts Un		why Executed (processing sprior and prior and	ovisional y (SB80)		Appeal Comm Appeals and In Appeal Comm Appeal Comm Appeal Comm Appeal Comm Application In Request for Co Return Receipt Other Enclosu betow): Sissue Fee T Comments Somments Comments	mication to TC ff, Reply Estat) romation ta Sheet rected Filling Receipt Postcard re(s) (please identify ransmittal on Statement of	
August 25, 2008 Nogml Toour/ Signature Nogml Toour Printed Name	CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-0463 for the above identified patent application.						
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT							
Signature /Henry Gabryjelski/		. No.	62,828				
Name of Attorney or Agent		ry Gabryjelsk		_			
Date August 19, 2008	Tel.	(425) 703-811		_	csimile No.	(425) 708-5046	
Assignee Name:		MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052					
Customer Number:		22971					

PATENT

First Named Inventor: Dustin L. Green Application No.:

10/789.128

Attorney Docket No.: Group Art Unit:

303427.01 2619

Filed: Customer No.: 22971

February 27, 2004 Confirmation Number: Examiner:

7352 TIMOTHY I WEIDNER

Title:

MEDIA STREAM SPLICER

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Microsoft Corporation Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance MS 303427.01

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Microsoft Corporation
Date: August 19, 2008	By: /Henry Gabryjelski/
	Henry Gabryjelski, Reg. No.: 62,828
	Direct telephone (425) 703-8116
	Microsoft Corporation
	One Microsoft Way
	Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

August 25, 2008	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar